James Madison University

JMU Scholarly Commons

Global CWD Repository

Center for International Stabilization and Recovery

7-19-2007

DDASaccident512

HD-AID

Humanitarian DeminingAccident and Incident Database

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.lib.jmu.edu/cisr-globalcwd

Part of the Defense and Security Studies Commons, Peace and Conflict Studies Commons, Public Policy Commons, and the Social Policy Commons

Recommended Citation

HD-AID, "DDASaccident512" (2007). *Global CWD Repository*. 711. https://commons.lib.jmu.edu/cisr-globalcwd/711

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for International Stabilization and Recovery at JMU Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Global CWD Repository by an authorized administrator of JMU Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact dc_admin@jmu.edu.

DDAS Accident Report

Accident details

Report date: 26/01/2008 Accident number: 512

Accident time: 07:15 Accident Date: 19/07/2007

Where it occurred: Task# Country: Afghanistan

AF/0308/01637/0154, Gujor Khel Village, Bagram District, Parwan Province

inadequacy (?)

Primary cause: Field control Secondary cause: Inadequate equipment

(?)

ID original source: None Name of source: UNMACA

Organisation: [Name removed]

Mine/device: PMN-2 AP blast Ground condition: not recorded

Date record created: Date last modified: 26/01/2008

No of victims: 1 No of documents: 1

Map details

Longitude: Latitude:

Alt. coord. system: Not recorded Coordinates fixed by: GPS

Map east: Map north:

Map scale: Map series:

Map edition: Map sheet:

Map name:

Accident Notes

inadequate equipment (?)

inconsistent statements (?)

long handtool may have reduced injury (?)

mechanical follow-up (?)

squatting/kneeling to excavate (?)

use of shovel (?)

Accident report

A letter about this accident was made available in August 2007 as a PDF file. Its conversion to a text file for editing means that some of the formatting has been lost. The substance of the letter is reproduced below, edited for anonymity. The original PDF file is held on record. Text in [] is editorial. This record will be revised if the full investigation report is made available in future.

LESSONS LEARNED SUMMARY

OF DEMINING ACCIDENT OCCURRED ON [National demining agency] MDU ON JULY 19, 2007 AT GUJOR KHEL VILLAGE, BAGRAM DISTRICT OF PARWAN

INTRODUCTION:

An internal investigation team was convened by Area Manager of AMAC Centre (Kabul) to investigate the demining accident involving [the Victim] a deminer from Good Neighbourhood Demining Project of [National demining agency] MCT- 31. The accident occurred at 07:15 hours on 19 July 2007 at task# AF/0308/01637/0154 located in Gujor khel village, Bagram district of Parwan province. The accident resulted left leg injuries to the deminer.

SUMMARY:

The mentioned mine contaminated area is one of the security mine belts established by Russians around Bagram Airbase which is heavily contaminated by mines.

On July 19 at 07:15 hours an accident happened to [the Victim] deminer while he was working in an area, which was prepared by [National demining agency] MDU-01. The explosion of PMN 2 mine resulted superficial injuries to the left leg of the deminer and as he was equipped by PPE and visor he did not receive further injuries to his body. After receiving first aids, the casualty was evacuated to Bagram airbase Egyptian hospital for treatment.

CONCLUSIONS:

The following points were found by investigation team:

[National demining agency] MDU-1 was supporting the team with HITACHI Excavator (ZX-210). Since the rotary cutter was inactive the area preparation was done by bucket. Bucket can plough the area, but it changes the position of mines and makes the mine more sensitive.

Rotary cutter machine was inactive since 20 June 2007, which has not been repaired so far.

Team leader of the team claims that while the accident happened the deminer was working by bayonet, but the investigation team could not find any sign of explosion on his bayonet or trowel, Instead there is much possibility that the deminer was working with portative shovel.

The deminer had worn the PPE and visor properly as it prevented more injuries to him.

Original shape of accident point was changed and hand tool of the victim deminer was moved from the accident site, however the investigation team had arrived to the site the same day.

The teams working for Neighbourhood Project do not have mission leave while other clearance teams are granted 10 days leave after each 50 working days.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The following points are to be considered:

Good Neighbourhood Project Field Office is to pay attention to repair the Rotary Cutter machine as soon as possible.

Refresher training is to be conducted for the team, focusing on use of hand tools while excavation and soil removal.

The command group of the team have to strictly control the deminers to use the appropriate hand tools as required.

The command group must not touch/disturb the accident point and the equipment involved to accident, because it create difficulty for the investigation team to find the facts and cause of the accident.

Project field officer is to consider the team's mission leaves and provide opportunity for the team members to have their mission leave like other demining teams.

Victim Report

Victim number: 670 Name: [Name removed]

Age: Gender: Male

Status: deminer Fit for work: presumed

Compensation: Not made available **Time to hospital:** Not made available

Protection issued: Frontal apron Protection used: Frontal apron, Long

visor

Summary of injuries:

minor Leg

COMMENT: No Medical report was made available.

Long visor

Analysis

The primary cause of this accident is listed as a "Field control inadequacy" because it seems that the Victim was using the wrong tool and his error was not corrected. The Field managers may also have attempted to conceal the truth from the investigators.

The secondary cause is listed as "Inadequate equipment" because the deminer only had a bayonet and a folding shovel as excavation tools. These tools are not designed for purpose or blast resistant, as recommended in the IMAS. They have both featured in other accidents.

This record will be revised if the full investigation report is made available in future.