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Accident Details

Report date: 05/03/2011
Accident time: 09:19

Accident number: 631
Accident Date: 08/07/2008

Where it occurred: Pusht-e- Band (Burma) village, Khoram Saharbagh district, Samangan Province
Country: Afghanistan

Primary cause: Victim inattention (?)
Secondary cause: Field control inadequacy (?)

Class: Excavation accident
Date of main report: Not recorded

ID original source: OPS/14101-17
Name of source: UNMACCA

Organisation: [Name removed]
Ground condition: not recorded

Mine/device: PMN AP blast

Date record created: Date last modified: 05/03/2011

No of victims: 1
No of documents: 1

Map Details

Longitude: Latitude:
Alt. coord. system: Not recorded Coordinates fixed by:

Map east: Map north:
Map scale: Map series:
Map edition: Map sheet:
Map name:

Accident Notes

inadequate investigation (?)
visor not worn or worn raised (?)
squatting/kneeling to excavate (?)

Accident Report

The only report of this accident that has been made available to date was in a UNMACA accident summary. The conversion into a DDAS file has led to some of the original formatting being lost. Text in square brackets [ ] is editorial. This record will be revised as more information becomes available.

The summary is reproduced below, edited for anonymity.
INVESTIGATION REPORT LESSONS LEARNED OF [Demining group] MU-16 DEMINING ACCIDENT IN SAMANGAN PROVINCE

Attached please find the investigation report and Lessons Learned from the demining accident, occurred to one of deminer of [Demining group] MU-16 on 08 July 2009 at 09:19 hours in Pusht-t-Band (Burma) Village, Saharagh district of Samangan Province.

LESSONS LEARNED SUMMARY OF [Demining group] MU-16 DEMINING ACCIDENT

INTRODUCTION:
An investigation team was convened by AMAC North to investigate the demining accident involving [the Victim] the deminer of [Demining group] MU-16. The accident occurred at 09:19hrs on 08 July 2009 at minefield number AF/1506/13176/H-5157, located in Pusht-e-Band (Burma) village, Khoram Saharbagh district of Samangan Province.

SUMMARY:
Minefield # AF/1506/1317611-1-5157 is located on a hill about 500 metres away from the village of Burma, which was front line between belligerent groups during internal war. From the military point of view this area was important for both sides of the conflict factions; therefore, the AP mines were laid there in 1991 by Sayed Kayan militia to stop the attacks of their opposition. Four accidents occurred on animal from 1996 to 1998. However, the area is mined but it is partially cultivated by villagers.

On 1st July 2009 MU-16 of [Demining group] started clearance operation on mentioned task, On 08 July 2009 at 0919hrs while [the Victim] was investigating a signal in his clearance lane, he found two bullets. He re-checked the spot and found the same signal, this process repeated for three times. Finally he found a root stump in the excavation trench with a thickness of around 2.5 cm and started to remove it, because it was blocking further excavation there. However the deminer had proper tool in his toolkit to cut such obstacles, but he tried to out it with his scraper. After a few chops of the root stump with a scraper, a PMN mine was initiated and caused the accident. As it seems from the investigation report, the root was located on the pressure plate of mine, so it went off because of applying pressure on it. Fortunately as the deminer was fully dressed with PPE, he got some non-critical injuries. The visor was scratched by accident so he got some injuries on his face, but none of the injuries were severe.

CONCLUSIONS:
The accident occurred because of carelessness of deminer, as he wanted to remove the root stump for further investigation of a detected signal, but used the scraper instead of secateurs available in his toolkit. The command group did not pay attention to see and stop him from such action.

RECOMMENDATIONS:
However, the [Demining group] has taken disciplinary actions against the command group, but as a lessons learned the following points are to be considered by all demining teams:
A. The command group should strictly control the deminers during the operations and stop them when practicing in contrary to the organization SOP.

B. The deminers should not hurry up during the excavation, be careful and seek guidance from their command group when facing such obstacles during the operations.

C. Demining organizations should make sure that their team deminers know the approved safe working procedures and if needed refresher training is to be conducted.

Victim Report

Victim number: 813
Name: [Name removed]
Age:
Gender: Male
Status: deminer
Fit for work: not known
Compensation: Not made available
Time to hospital: Not made available
Protection issued: Frontal apron, Long visor
Protection used: Frontal apron, Long visor

Summary of injuries:
INJURIES: minor Face
COMMENT: No Medical report was made available.

Analysis

The primary cause of this accident is listed as Victim Inattention because it seems that the Victim worked incautiously despite having appropriate tools to hand. The secondary cause is listed as a Field Control Inadequacy because the Victim’s errors – which probably included wearing a raised visor) were not corrected. The demining group’s senior management apparently acted to correct field supervision errors, so are to be commended.

This demining group wear a frontal vest that does not have a collar interfacing with the visor (so does not meet the minimum IMAS requirement) so it is possible that ejecta from the blast could have struck the Victim’s lower face and throat without the visor being raised.

The “Inadequate investigation” listed under notes refers to the absence of a full accident report. The UN supported MACCA has failed to make these widely available for some years in contravention of the requirements of the IMAS. The existence of a good summary implies that the Afghan investigators carried out a professional investigation and generated a detailed report that should be shared.