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DDAS Accident Report

Accident details

Report date: 07/03/2011  Accident number: 698
Accident time: 10:00  Accident Date: 27/03/2008
Where it occurred: MF 180, Qalai
Ahmmad Khan Village,
Bagram District,
Parwan Province

Primary cause: Field control inadequacy (?)  Secondary cause: Management/control inadequacy (?)
Class: Handling accident
ID original source: DA04, CA-168
Name of source: UNMACCA
Organisation: [Name removed]
Mine/device: AP blast (unrecorded)

Date record created:  Date last modified:

No of victims: 1  No of documents: 1

Map details

Longitude: Latitude: Coordinates fixed by:
Alt. coord. system: Not recorded

Map east:  Map north:
Map scale:  Map series:
Map edition:  Map sheet:
Map name:

Accident Notes

inadequate investigation (?)
mine/device found in "cleared" area (?)
inadequate area marking (?)
inadequate training (?)
visor not worn or worn raised (?)

Accident report

The only report of this accident that has been made available to date was in a UNMACA EXCEL spreadsheet. Text in square brackets [ ] is editorial. This record will be revised as more information becomes available.
Demining Accident involving [Demining group]

Date: 27th March 2008
Time: 10:00
Location: MF 180, Qalai Ahmmad Khan Village, Bagram District, Parwan Province.
Task Number: 180
Team: DT-02
Name of victim: [Name removed], Deminer
Injury type: Both eyes, left hand & left leg
Mine: AP blast

While he was marking his designated clearance lane in term of work progress, a painted stone fall down from his hand and caused for blowing off the mine.

Internal ID: DA 04, CA-168

Accident investigation completed. Action taken.

1. The accident point which was shown to investigation team is located at the vicinity of safety margin of two meters wide clearance lane. During clearance of the lane the relevant party has not found any hazardous component and also there is no any visible hazardous item around the cleared lane.

2. It is investigation team's perception that the victim had breached the safety measures, entered in to the mined area and was trying to irresponsibly tamper with the hazard object and caused it to explode. And

3. Finally, it is obvious that the scenario of the accident has been changed by the team involved in the accident and the explosion crater shown to investigation team does not seem to be the actual explosion point.

Victim Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim number</th>
<th>884</th>
<th>Name: [Name removed]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gender: Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>deminer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation:</td>
<td>Not made available</td>
<td>Time to hospital: Not made available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection issued:</td>
<td>Not recorded</td>
<td>Protection used: Not made available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of injuries:

INJURIES: severe Eyes, severe Hand, severe Leg

COMMENT: No Medical report was made available.
Analysis

This accident is classed as a *Handing accident* because the investigators thought it most likely that the Victim entered the hazardous area and deliberately interacted with the device.

The primary cause of this accident is listed as a *Field Control Inadequacy* because the investigators found that the deminer was in a hazardous area and acting irresponsibly but his errors went uncorrected. His injuries imply that he was also not wearing a visor, or was wearing it raised at the time. The secondary cause is listed as a *Management control Inadequacy* because the senior managers are responsible for appointing responsible and capable field managers. The appointment of field managers who failed to control the deminer and then tried to conceal the events of the accident implies that his was not achieved.

It is possible that the Victim was placing marking stones and dropped one, as he claimed. If so, he was marking a mined area as a cleared area, which is a bizarre enough excuse to mean that it could be true.

The “Inadequate investigation” listed under notes refers to the absence of a full accident report. The UN supported MACCA has failed to make these widely available for some years in contravention of the requirements of the IMAS.