

Mine Action in Support of Yemen's Peace Process by Ridley [from page 6]

1. Doucet, Lyse, "In the Rubble of Taiz, All Roads to a Normal Life Are Blocked," *The Guardian*, March 2020, <https://bit.ly/3PcaMI2>.
2. Civilian Impact Monitoring Project (CIMP) EO casualty data (dataset available on request) and Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) project, <https://bit.ly/3uFUO9s>.
3. IOM report, July 2022.
4. Yemen Humanitarian Response Plan (HRP), 2022 (p35), <https://bit.ly/3Yc5FFI>.
5. Grundberg, Hans. "Briefing to the United Nations Security Council by the Special Envoy for Yemen," OSESGY, 14 June 2022, <https://bit.ly/3hEcGP2>.
6. Briefing to the United Nations Security Council By Special Envoy for Yemen Hans Grundberg, June 2022, <https://bit.ly/3uAHhQi>.
7. "Yemen: Civilian Casualties Halved Since the Start of the Truce," *Norwegian Refugee Council*, May 2022, <https://bit.ly/3Pj00cS>.
8. Civilian Impact Monitoring Project (CIMP), April – June Quarterly Report. *CIMP analysis relies on open-source data for recording EO casualties, given there is no EO casualty data collection system in Yemen. Given reliance on open-source data, casualty statistics are likely considerably underestimates.
9. Briefing to the United Nations Security Council By Special Envoy for Yemen Hans Grundberg, June 2022, <https://bit.ly/3iObKrt>.
10. Referenced in GICHD and Swisspeace (2016), *Mine Action and Peace Mediation*, [GICHD-Mine-Action-and-Peace-Mediation_web.pdf](https://www.gichd.org/~/media/GICHD-Mine-Action-and-Peace-Mediation_web.pdf)
11. Chiovelli, Giorgio, Michalopoulos, Stelios, Papaioannou, Elias, "Landmines and Spatial Development," National Bureau of Economic Research, <https://bit.ly/3VQsEEv>.

Safer Stockpiles: Developing Regional PSSM Instructor Cadres by Häfner and Farha [from page 13]

1. ECOWAS Convention on Small Arms and Light Weapons, Their Ammunition, and Other Related Materials, <https://bit.ly/3EObMs3>.
2. Modular Small-arms-control Implementation Compendium (MOSIAC), <https://bit.ly/3lzNWsX>.
3. International Ammunition Technical Guidelines, <http://bit.ly/3xIbaFB>.
4. UN SaferGuard, <https://unsafeguard.org/>.
5. Benchmarks to assess the arms embargo on South Sudan: report of the Secretary-General 2021, <https://bit.ly/3HYLUtK>.

When a Safety Measure Becomes a Risk Accelerant: Removing the Option to Blast-In-Place When Clearing Explosive Remnants of War by Lieutenant Colonel Geir P. Novik [from page 19]

1. Novik, G. P., Abrahamsen, E. B., & Sommer, M. (2023). Improving the decision-making basis by strengthening the risk assessments of unexploded ordnance and explosive remnants of war. *Safety Science*, 160 (106065). doi: <https://bit.ly/3Ybj146>.
2. Abrahamsen, E. B., Moharamzadeh, A., Abrahamsen, H. B., Asche, F., Heide, B., & Milazzo, M. F. (2018). Are too

- many safety measures crowding each other out? *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 174, 108-113. <https://bit.ly/3HOtZHS>.
3. Ministry of Defence [UK] (2013). *Ministry of Defence Explosives Regulations JSP 482*. Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3JGpGPE>.
4. Cottrell, L., & Dupuy, K. (2021). Alternatives to Open Burning and Open Detonation: The Disparity Between HMA and Commercial Best Practices. *The Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction*, 21(1). Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3G6mASZ>.
5. Naval Explosive Ordnance Disposal Technology Division. (2003). *TM/NAVORD EODB 60A-1-1-4, Explosive ordnance and disposal procedures, general EOD information, techniques and tools, Section 1-4 Protection of Personnel and Property*. Indian Head: Naval Explosive Ordnance Disposal Technology Division
6. Gupta, R., Kumar, M., Singh, S., Singla, G., & Narang, R. (2022). Deflagration to Detonation Transition in Cast Explosives: Revisiting the Classical Model. *Propellants, Explosives, Pyrotechnics*, 47(2). <https://bit.ly/3jcX85b>.
7. Bagočius, D. (2013). Underwater noise generated by the detonation of historical ordnance in the Baltic Sea, Lithuania: potential ecological impacts on marine life. *BALTICA*, 26(2), 187-192. <https://bit.ly/3FKfnXm>.
8. Kvadsheim, P. H., Søvik, A. A., Rokke, E., Kolstrup, M. L., Forland, T. N., Pedersen, G., . . . Smedsrud, M. (2022). *Risiko for skade på laksefisk i omkring liggende oppdrettsanlegg ved etablering av undervannsskytefelt på Korsnes Fort [Risk of impact on nearby fish farms associated with establishment of underwater naval training area at Korsnes fortress] FFI-Rapport 22/00814*. Retrieved from Norwegian Defence Research Establishment: <https://bit.ly/3BTcOky>
9. Robinson, S. P., Wang, L., Cheong, S.-H., Lepper, P. A., Marubini, F., & Hartley, J.P (2020). Underwater acoustic characterisation of unexploded ordnance disposal using deflagration. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 160(111646). <https://bit.ly/3PJ4ERr>.
10. E.g., UK Government. (2022). *Policy paper - Marine environment: unexploded ordnance clearance joint interim position statement*. Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3POd4XW>.
11. Cottrell, L., & Dupuy, K. (2021). Alternatives to Open Burning and Open Detonation: The Disparity Between HMA and Commercial Best Practices. *The Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction*, 21(1). Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3G6mASZ>.
12. Koschinski, S., & Kock, K.-H. (2015). Underwater Unexploded Ordnance – Methods for a Cetacean-friendly Removal of Explosives as Alternatives to Blasting. Retrieved from ASCOBANS: <https://bit.ly/3G6MC8q>
13. Koschinski, S., & Kock, K.-H. (2015). Underwater Unexploded Ordnance – Methods for a Cetacean-friendly Removal of Explosives as Alternatives to Blasting. Retrieved from ASCOBANS: <https://bit.ly/3G6MC8q>.
14. Verfuss, U. K., Sinclair, R. R., & Sparling, C. E. (2019). A review of noise abatement systems for offshore wind farm construction noise, and the potential for their application in Scottish waters. *Scottish Natural Heritage Research Report No. 1070*. Retrieved from Scottish Natural Heritage: <https://bit.ly/3VdKbVZ>.

15. Gupta, R., Kumar, M., Singh, S., Singla, G., & Narang, R. (2022). Deflagration to Detonation Transition in Cast Explosives: Revisiting the Classical Model. *Propellants, Explosives, Pyrotechnics*, 47(2). <https://bit.ly/3jcX85b>.
16. Ministry of Defence [UK] (2013). Ministry of Defence Explosives Regulations JSP 482. Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3JGpGPE>.
17. Meyer, R., Köhler, J., & Homburg, A. (2005). *Explosives*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH.
18. United Nations (2021). *International Ammunition Technical Guidelines*. Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3Yi2awl>.
19. Ministry of Defence [UK] (2013). *Ministry of Defence Explosives Regulations JSP 482*. Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3JGpGPE>.
20. Pedersen, A., Nokes, J., & Wardlaw, D. (2002). *Low-Order, Underwater Detonation*. Retrieved from Defence Technical Information Center: <https://bit.ly/3Wbm4Zf>.
21. Robinson, S. P., Wang, L., Cheong, S.-H., Lepper, P. A., Marubini, F., & Hartley, J. P. (2020). Underwater acoustic characterisation of unexploded ordnance disposal using deflagration. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 160(111646). <https://bit.ly/3PJ4ERr>.
22. Thiboutot, S., Ampleman, G., Brochu, S., Diaz, E., Poulin, I., Martel, R., Jenkins, T. F. (2012). Environmental characterization of military training ranges for munitions-related contaminants: Understanding and minimizing the environmental impacts of live-fire training. *International Journal of Energetic Materials and Chemical Propulsion*, 11(1), 17-57. Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3WgXIO6>.
23. Pedersen, A. (2002). *Low-Order, Underwater Detonation Study*. Retrieved from Defence Technical Information Center: <https://bit.ly/3hD9ByA>.
24. Gupta, R., Kumar, M., Singh, S., Singla, G., & Narang, R. (2022). Deflagration to Detonation Transition in Cast Explosives: Revisiting the Classical Model. *Propellants, Explosives, Pyrotechnics*, 47(2). <https://bit.ly/3jcX85b>.
25. Pedersen, A. (2002). *Low-Order, Underwater Detonation Study*. Retrieved from Defence Technical Information Center: <https://bit.ly/3hD9ByA>.
26. Miętkiewicz, R. (2022). High explosive unexploded ordnance neutralization - Tallboy air bomb case study. *Defence Technology*, 18(3), 524-535. <https://bit.ly/3YGVl8D>.
27. Pennington, J. C., Silverblatt, B., Poe, K., Hayes, C. A., & Yost, S. (2008). Explosive Residues from Low-Order Detonations of Heavy Artillery and Mortar Rounds. *Soil and Sediment Contamination: An International Journal* 17(5), 533-546. <https://bit.ly/3BRKqjJ>.
28. Talmage, S. S., Opresko, D. M., Maxwell, C. J., Welsh, C. J., Cretella, F. M., Reno, P. H., & Daniel, F. B. (1999). Nitroaromatic munition compounds: environmental effects and screening values. *Reviews of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 161. <https://bit.ly/3FGVf8j>.
29. Maser, E., & Strehse, J. S. (2020). "Don't Blast": blast-in-place (BiP) operations of dumped World War munitions in the oceans significantly increase hazards to the environment and the human seafood consumer. *Archives of Toxicology*, 94, 1941-1953. <https://bit.ly/3VstOoV>.
30. Novik, G. P. (2022). Analysis of samples of high explosives extracted from explosive remnants of war. *Science of The Total Environment*, 842(156864). <https://bit.ly/3G8r0Zs>.
31. Koschinski, S., & Kock, K.-H. (2015). Underwater Unexploded Ordnance – Methods for a Cetacean-friendly Removal of Explosives as Alternatives to Blasting. Retrieved from ASCOBANS: <https://bit.ly/3G6MC8q>.
32. Johnsen, A. (2021). *Påvirkes fisk og skaldyr av dumpet ammunisjon? [Are fish and shellfish affected by dumped ammunition?]* FFI-Rapport 21/01396. Retrieved from Norwegian Defence Research Establishment.
33. Maser, E., & Strehse, J. S. (2021). Can seafood from marine sites of dumped World War relicts be eaten? *Archives of Toxicology*. <https://bit.ly/3vbF65>.
34. Schuster, R., Strehse, J. S., Ahvo, A., Turja, R., Maser, E., Bickmeyer, U., Brenner, M. (2021). Exposure to dissolved TNT causes multilevel biological effects in Baltic mussels (*Mytilus* spp.). *Marine Environmental Research*, 167(105264). <https://bit.ly/3VfdyY5>.
35. Maser, E., & Strehse, J. S. (2021). Can seafood from marine sites of dumped World War relicts be eaten? *Archives of Toxicology*. <https://bit.ly/3vbF65T>.
36. Walsh, M., Collins, C., Ramsey, C., Douglas, T., Bailey, R., Walsh, M., Clausen, J. (2007). Energetic Residues on Alaskan Training Ranges: Studies for the US Army Garrison Alaska 2005 and 2006. Retrieved from US Army Corps of Engineers: <https://bit.ly/3PFUgtA>.
37. Hewitt, A., Jenkins, T., Ranney, T., Stark, J., & Walsh, M. (2003). *Estimates for Explosives Residue from the Detonation of Army Munitions*. Retrieved from US Army Corps of Engineers: <https://bit.ly/3HRi6kn>.
38. Hewitt, A. D., Jenkins, T. F., Walsh, M. E., Walsh, M. R., & Taylor, S. (2005). RDX and TNT residues from live-fire and blow-in-place detonations. *Chemosphere*, 61(6), 888-894. <https://bit.ly/3G8CXy8>.
39. Thiboutot, S., Ampleman, G., Brochu, S., Diaz, E., Poulin, I., Martel, R., . . . Jenkins, T. F. (2012). Environmental characterization of military training ranges for munitions-related contaminants: Understanding and minimizing the environmental impacts of live-fire training. *International Journal of Energetic Materials and Chemical Propulsion*, 11(1), 17-57. Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3HOPDM0>.
40. Thiboutot, S., Ampleman, G., Brochu, S., Diaz, E., Poulin, I., Martel, R., . . . Jenkins, T. F. (2012). Environmental characterization of military training ranges for munitions-related contaminants: Understanding and minimizing the environmental impacts of live-fire training. *International Journal of Energetic Materials and Chemical Propulsion*, 11(1), 17-57. Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3HOPDM0>.
41. Evans, R., & Duncan, A. (2020). Disposal of Explosive Ordnance and Environmental Risk Mitigation. *The Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction*, 24(1). Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3Wt3qfm>.
42. Maser, E., & Strehse, J. S. (2020). "Don't Blast": blast-in-place (BiP) operations of dumped World War munitions in the oceans significantly increase hazards to the environment and the human seafood consumer. *Archives of Toxicology*, 94, 1941-1953. <https://bit.ly/3VstOoV>.
43. Koschinski, S., & Kock, K.-H. (2015). Underwater Unexploded Ordnance – Methods for a Cetacean-friendly Removal of Explosives as Alternatives to Blasting. Retrieved from ASCOBANS: <https://bit.ly/3G6MC8q>.
44. Joint Nature Conservation Committee. (2020, July 1, 2020). Marine mammals and noise mitigation. Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3FCSMMe>.
45. Cottrell, L., & Dupuy, K. (2021). Alternatives to Open Burning and Open Detonation: The Disparity Between HMA

and Commercial Best Practices. *The Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction*, 21(1). Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3G6mASZ>.

46. Von Benda-Beckmann, A. M., Wensveen, P. J., Kvadsheim, P. H., Lam, F. P., Miller, P. J., Tyack, P. L., & Ainslie, M. A. (2014). Modeling Effectiveness of Gradual Increases in Source Level to Mitigate Effects of Sonar on Marine Mammals. *Conservation Biology*, 28(1), 119-128. <https://bit.ly/3BQmKeF>.
47. Compton, R., Goodwin, L., Handy, R., & Abbott, V. (2008). A critical examination of worldwide guidelines for minimising the disturbance to marine mammals during seismic surveys. *Marine Policy*, 32(3), 255-262. <https://bit.ly/3jeCwtK>.
48. Berthinussen, A., Smith, R. K., & Sutherland, W. J. (2021). *Marine and Freshwater Mammal Conservation: Global Evidence for the Effects of Interventions*. Cambridge, UK: Conservation Evidence Series Synopses. University of Cambridge.
49. Novik, G. P., Sommer, M., & Abrahamsen, E. B. (2022). A Risk-Increasing Safety Strategy? Evaluating the traditional risk mitigating strategy in dealing with dumped ammunition and explosive remnants of war. *Journal of Military and Strategic Studies*, 22(1). Retrieved from <https://bit.ly/3v7dFKw>.
50. Maresca, L. (2004). A new protocol on explosive remnants of war: The history and negotiation of Protocol V to the 1980 *Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons*. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 86(856), 815-835. <https://bit.ly/3YGSdlt>.

Mine Action and the Triple Nexus by Schindler [from page 25]

1. This concept is also referred to as the 'New Way of Working'. See United Nations Joint Steering Committee to Advance Humanitarian and Development Collaboration: *The New Way of Working*. <https://www.un.org/jsc/content/new-way-working>. Accessed 4 January 2023.
2. United Nations: *Secretary-General-designate António Guterres' remarks to the General Assembly on taking the oath of office*, 12 December 2016. <https://www.un.org/sg/en/content/sg/speeches/2016-12-12/secretary-general-designate-antónio-guterres-oath-office-speech>. Accessed 4 January 2023.
3. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD): *DAC Recommendation on the Humanitarian-Development-Peace Nexus*, 2022, p. 7. <https://legalinstruments.oecd.org/public/doc/643/643.en.pdf>. Accessed 4 January 2023.
4. For example, see GICHD – UNDP: *Leaving no one behind: Mine action and the Sustainable Development Goals*, Geneva, June 2017. <https://www.undp.org/publications/mine-action-and-sustainable-development-goals>, accessed 4 January 2023.
5. See Giorgio Chiovelli, Stelios Michalopoulos, and Elias Papaioannou: *Landmines and Spatial Development*, NBER Working Paper No. 24758, June 2018. doi.org/10.3386/w24758, Accessed 4 January 2023.
6. Man-Portable Air-Defence Systems.
7. This is particularly the case for HMA contributions to peacebuilding, which has joined the previously conceptualized humanitarian-development nexus only relatively

recently to form the triple nexus.

8. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP): *Mine Action for Sustainable Development* (New York, NY: June 2016), p. 20. <https://www.undp.org/publications/mine-action-sustainable-development>, accessed 4 January 2023.
9. In fact, even the term 'peacebuilding' itself lacks a commonly shared perception, being used for both top-down UN peacebuilding operations as well as for bottom-up, community-centric peacebuilding practice. See Geneva Peacebuilding Platform: *White Paper on Peacebuilding* (Geneva, 2015), p. 9. <https://www.gpplatform.ch/content/white-paper-peacebuilding-1>, accessed 4 January 2023.
10. These may include incremental changes that are initially difficult to notice, e.g. increases or decreases in the lethality of attacks, changes in the targeting of small groups that were not included in the survey, such as journalists or lawyers, variations in the rate of inter-faith marriages, etc. This is why, for example, the Global Peace Index, which ranks 163 independent states and territories according to their level of peacefulness, and which comprises 23 indicators, only includes a single indicator that is based on community perceptions. Institute for Economics and Peace: *Global Peace Index 2022*, p. 81.
11. International humanitarian assistance in 2021 amounted to USD 31.3 billion (of which USD 24.9 billion were made available by governments and EU institutions, with the remainder provided by private donors). In the same year, official development assistance (ODA) totaled USD 185.9 billion. See Development Initiatives: *Global Humanitarian Assistance Report 2022* (Bristol, 2022), p. 34; and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD): *Official development assistance (ODA), 2022*: <https://www.oecd.org/dac/financing-sustainable-development/development-finance-standards/official-development-assistance.htm>, accessed 4 January 2023.
12. OECD: *DAC Recommendation*, p. 7.
13. Ted Paterson and Eric Filippino: *The Road to Mine Action and Development: The Life-Cycle Perspective of Mine Action*, *Journal of Mine Action*: Vol. 9, Iss. 2, Article 23, p. 54. <http://commons.lib.jmu.edu/cisr-journal/vol9/iss2/23>. Accessed 4 January 2023.
14. *Ibid.*, p. 55.
15. OECD: *DAC Recommendation*, p. 11.

Inspiring the Next Generation of Humanitarian Mine Action Researchers by Tuohy, Greenspan, Fasullo, Baur, Steinberg, Zheng, Nikulin, Clayton, de Smet [from page 31]

1. Timothy S. de Smet and Alex Nikulin, (2018), "Catching 'butterflies' in the morning: A new methodology for rapid detection of aerially deployed plastic land mines from UAVs," *The Leading Edge* 37: 367–371. <https://doi.org/10.1190/tle37050367.1>
2. Tuohy, M. (2022, December 15). *Detection of Explosive Remnants of War with UAV-Based Hyperspectral Imaging*. AGU - Fall Meeting 2022. <https://bit.ly/3jRV43c>
3. Greenspan, E. and Sofia Fasullo (2021, December 15). *Ground-coupled Remote Sensing Robotic Snake for Humanitarian Demining in Cambodia*. AGU - AGU Fall Meeting 2021. <https://bit.ly/3K3sQNY>
4. Opeyemi Oladunjoye, Christina Maffattone, Julia Al-Nawal, Sofia Fasullo, Eva Greenspan, Jeffrey Koller, Alex

- Nikulin, Timothy de Smet, Garrett M. Clayton. 2022. Omnidirectional All-Terrain Screw-Driven Robot Design, Modeling, and Application in Humanitarian Demining, IFAC-PapersOnLine, Volume 55, Issue 27, Pages 7-12, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.10.480>.
5. Baur, Jasper, Steinberg, Gabriel, Nikulin, Alex, Chiu, Kenneth, and de Smet, Timothy (2021) "How to Implement Drones and Machine Learning to Reduce Time, Costs, and Dangers Associated with Landmine Detection," *The Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction*: Vol. 25: Iss. 1, Article 29.
 6. Nikulin, Ph.D., Alex; deSmet, Ph.D., Timothy; Puliaiev, Andrii; Zhurakhov, Vasyi; Fasullo, Sofia; Chen, Gabriel; Spiegel, Isaac; and Cappuccio, Kaylee (2020) "Automated UAS Aeromagnetic Surveys to Detect MBRL Unexploded Ordnance," *The Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction*: Vol. 24: Iss. 1, Article 13.
 7. de Smet, Timothy; Nikulin, Alex; Frazer, William, Baur, Jasper; Abramowitz, Jacob, Finan, Daniel, Denara, Sean, Aglietti, Nicholas, and Campos, Gabriel (2018) "Drones and "Butterflies": A Low-Cost UAV System for Rapid Detection and Identification of Unconventional Minefields," *The Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction*: Vol. 22: Iss. 3, Article 10.
 8. Nikulin A, De Smet TS, Baur J, Frazer WD, Abramowitz JC. "Detection and Identification of Remnant PFM-1 'Butterfly Mines' with a UAV-Based Thermal-Imaging Protocol," *Remote Sensing*. 2018; 10(11):1672. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10111672>.
 9. Baur J, Steinberg G, Nikulin A, Chiu K, de Smet TS. "Applying Deep Learning to Automate UAV-Based Detection of Scatterable Landmines," *Remote Sensing*. 2020; 12(5):859. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12050859>.
 10. Tuohy, M. (n.d.). PFM-1 Antipersonnel Landmines from Three Optical Sensors and a Derivative Data Product. The Open Repository @ Binghamton (the ORB). <https://bit.ly/3YIszKN>.
 11. Github. GSteinberg/Faster-RCNN.PyTorch. Available online: <https://github.com/GSteinberg/faster-rcnn.pytorch> (accessed on 4 October 2020).
 12. Black, Solomon (2021, March 1). "Using Social Media to Protect Civilians from Landmines and Other Explosive Hazards," U.S. Department of State. <https://bit.ly/3PzS1bh>
 13. Hsu, J. (2018, December 28). "Drones Used to Find Toylike "Butterfly" Land Mines," *Scientific American*, <https://bit.ly/3WgBI5k>.
 14. Robinson, A. D. S. (2022, September 7). "To Clear Deadly Land Mines, Science Turns to Drones and Machine Learning," *Scientific American*, <https://bit.ly/3j5eXD9>.
 15. Derouin, S. (2021, November 2). "The Drones That Track Down Buried Warheads," *Popular Mechanics*.
 16. Epatko, Larisa. "These Drone Projects Are Saving Lives and Protecting Nature." PBS, Public Broadcasting Service, 10 July 2018, <http://bit.ly/3BFjyCn>.
 17. Seidler, Christoph. "Afghanistan: Forscher Wollen Landminen Aus Der Luft Finden." DER SPIEGEL, DER SPIEGEL, 17 Dec. 2018, <http://bit.ly/3PLfMgV>.
 18. Baur, J. (2018, April 27). *Detecting Plastic Landmines*. <https://bit.ly/3WiOhgy>
 19. Cartier, K. M. S. (2022, February 9). New "Snakebot" Could Map Cambodian Minefields. *Eos*. <https://bit.ly/3Wkq7IH>.
 20. Valerie Oppenheim - March 19, 2018. "BU Students Compete in National Geographic Chasing Genius Challenge." *Pipe Dream*, 19 Mar. 2018, <http://bit.ly/3UWrlTn>.
 21. Apopo. (2022, September 23). HeroRATs. APOPO. <https://bit.ly/3uSNzul>.
 22. *Demining Research Community: Detect. Defuse.* (n.d.). Demine. <https://bit.ly/3WpvOiz>.
 23. Brown, S. K., Jenkins, S. F., Sparks, R. S. J., Odbert, H., & Auken, M. R. (2017). Volcanic fatalities database: analysis of volcanic threat with distance and victim classification. *Journal of Applied Volcanology*, 6(1), 1-20.
 24. "Number of Deaths from Volcano Eruptions," *Our World in Data*, <https://bit.ly/3hsTgfQ>.
 25. International Campaign to Ban Landmines, *Landmine and Cluster Munition Monitor 2019* (ICBL-CMC: November 2019), <https://bit.ly/3JWiG1h>.
 26. International Campaign to Ban Landmines, *Landmine and Cluster Munition Monitor 2022* (ICBL-CMC: November 2022), <https://bit.ly/3xh9exL>.
- IMAS Levels of EOD & IEDD Qualifications by Prater [from page 38]**
1. "Explosive Weapons in Populated Areas," OCHA, <https://bit.ly/3GZjjW8>.
 2. Standards and Technical Notes, IMAS, GICHD, <http://bit.ly/3lzYtEa>.
 3. "United Nations Improvised Explosive Device Disposal Standards," May 2018, <https://bit.ly/3YPdJvA>.
- The Bigger Picture: Considerations Toward the Sustainable Localization of Mine Action by Wilkinson, Schevey, and Al Zubaidi [from page 44]**
1. DCA Global Strategy 2023-2026, pg. 10.
 2. Localisation Learning Tool (<http://bit.ly/3V6Sjrc>).
 3. The Grand Bargain can be situated within the context of the 2016 World Humanitarian Summit where sixty-three of the world's largest donors committed themselves to a range of initiatives intended to get a higher proportion of aid funding directly into the hands of those needing it the most. As a result, the Grand Bargain seeks to address fundamental issues relating to effectiveness and efficiency of humanitarian aid. In 2021, Grand Bargain 2.0 specifically committed to localization as one of its enabling priorities (<https://bit.ly/3PytYJS>).
 4. Charter4Change can be seen as a parallel initiative to the Grand Bargain, bringing together thirty-five International NGOs and 280 national and local endorsers working to implement their eight commitments to address imbalance and inequalities in the global humanitarian system (<https://bit.ly/3uX3kkI>).
 5. The Netherlands Presidency of the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention 2022, Anti-personnel Mine Ban Convention 19th MSP, 15–11 November 2021, The Hague, The Netherlands.
 6. Wilkinson, Mark. "National Capacity Building for Humanitarian Mine Action Activities in Iraq," *The Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction*, Vol. 25, Issue 3 (2022) pp. 40–44.
 7. Ibid.
 8. For more information on Capacity Development in Mine Action see: UNDP Handbook for Capacity Development

- in Mine Action (<http://bit.ly/3la4LKR>).
9. Alternative Humanitaires, <https://bit.ly/3uV6Rj1>.
 10. "Localisation of Aid: Are INGOs Walking the Talk?" Relief Web, Nov. 2017, <https://bit.ly/3PvwBMB>.
 11. "IASC Guidance on the Provision of Overheads to Local and National Partners," Inter-Agency Standing Committee, Nov. 2022, <https://bit.ly/3uZFXgy>.
 12. "Bridging the Intention to Action Gap – The Future of Intermediaries in Supporting Locally Led Humanitarian Action," Humanitarian Advisory Group, June 2021, <https://bit.ly/3BGTmr9>.
 13. Hagelsteen, Magnus, Gutheil, Jonas, Del Mar Morales Burkle, Maria, and Becker, Per, "Caught between principles and politics: Challenges and opportunities for capacity development from governmental donors' perspectives," *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, Vol. 70 (2022), 102785.
- Gender and Diversity Mainstreaming in Mine Action: Where are we in Colombia?** by Aguirre, De Santis, Salas-Quijano, Duica-Amaya, and Jaramillo [from page 50]
1. United Nations Peacemaker, <http://bit.ly/3qTijKb>.
 2. UN Universal declaration of human rights (1948), <https://bit.ly/3qRteDY>.
 3. UN Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women in 1978, <https://bit.ly/3SfxGsB>.
 4. "Coordination of the Policies and Activities of the Specialized Agencies and Other Bodies of the UN System," Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), excerpt from A/52/3, <https://bit.ly/3drsCC0>.
 5. "United Nations system-wide policy on gender equality and the empowerment of women: focusing on results and impact," Chief Executives Board for Coordination United Nations, <https://bit.ly/3Bw4nut>.
 6. "United Nations Gender Guidelines for Mine Action Programmes," UNMAS, 3rd edition, 2019, <https://bit.ly/3xDjYr3>.
 7. "10th Anniversary of the Adoption of Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD)," United Nations, <https://bit.ly/3BUKSNy>.
 8. Hankey, William, "Gender and Disability Equality in Mine Action Program Management," *The Journal of ERW and Mine Action*, Vol. 18: Iss. 3, Article 9., <https://bit.ly/3f7JVZu>.
 9. "Declaration on the Rights of Persons Belonging to National or Ethnic, Religious and Linguistic Minorities," UN OHCHR, <https://bit.ly/3YzaN6o>.
 10. "United Nations Gender Guidelines for Mine Action Programmes," UNMAS, 3rd edition, 2019, <https://bit.ly/3xDjYr3>.
 11. "Gender & Diversity in Mine Action Quality Management," GICHD, GMAP, <https://bit.ly/3jUE9go>.
 12. "Principles Relating to the Status of National Institutions (The Paris Principles)," UN OHCHR, <https://bit.ly/3eUhw8W>.
 13. IMAS 07.40 Second Edition (<https://bit.ly/3XypPrL>).
 14. "Thematic Study on Gender and Diversity Mainstreaming in UN Mine Action – the Cases of Colombia and Myanmar," UN Mine Action Strategy 2019–2023, <https://bit.ly/3BWwWCK>.
 15. Funding provided by the Office of Weapons Removal and Abatement in the U.S. State Department's Bureau of Political-Military Affairs.
 16. Decree 1930 of 2013, <https://bit.ly/3LuhCOU>.
 17. "Pacto por Colombia, pacto por la equidad," <https://bit.ly/3DG6QFw>.
 18. "Consejo Nacional de Política Económica y Social República de Colombia," Departamento Nacional de Planeación, <https://bit.ly/3drzvDo>.
 19. Strategic Plan 2020–2025 "Towards A Colombia Free of Suspected Antipersonnel Mines For All Colombians" Strategic Plan 2020–2025
 20. "Estadísticas de Asistencia Integral a las Víctimas de MAP y MUSE," gov.co, <http://bit.ly/3qRyCHd>.
 21. Zimmermann, Maria. 2016. "Colombia: Los Nukak Makú Luchan Por Volver a Sus Tierras En El Guaviare Para No Desaparecer." Mongabay.Com. December 7, 2016
 22. Serje, Margarita, Ashley Caja, Rebacca Natolini, Laura Rexach, and C Britt Arredondo. 2007. "Iron Maiden Landscapes: The Geopolitics of Colombia's Territorial Contest." *Review*. Vol. 24.
 23. Sotomayor Tribin, Hugo Armando, Dany Mahecha, Carlos Eduardo Franky, Gabriel Cabrera Becerra, and María Lucía Torres Leguizamo. 1998. "La Nutrición de Los Nukak Una Sociedad Amazónica En Proceso de Contacto." *Maguare*, no. 13: 117–42.
- The Road Ahead: Clearance Toward Sustainability in Bosnia and Herzegovina** by Sutton [from page 56]
1. *Landmine & Cluster Munition Monitor*, "Bosnia & Herzegovina Impact Report," 2021, <http://bit.ly/3g5FK10>.
 2. This is a decrease compared to the 956km² of mined area remaining in BiH as at the end of 2020, and is only partly explained by the 18km² released through survey and clearance in 2021. It is also a reduction on the 933km² of remaining mined area (which equated to 1.82% of its total territory), as reported by BiH at the Nineteenth Meeting of States Parties in November 2021. BiH Mine Action Review: "Clearing the Mines," <https://bit.ly/3uKdHbh>.
 3. *Landmine & Cluster Munition Monitor*, "Bosnia & Herzegovina Impact Report," 2021, <http://bit.ly/3g5FK10>.
 4. Croatian Defense Council (HVO, mainly Bosnian Croats) and the Army of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina (ARBiH), and the Army of the Republic of Srpska (VRS, mainly Bosnian Serbs).
 5. Statistics provided by Mines Advisory Group (MAG).